

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/808,530	KATO, TOSHIKAZU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Dinh	2825	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowance

 (1) Paul Dinh, Patent Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Phillip J. Articola, Applicant Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2005
Time: Am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

By examiner amendment: (a) cancel the non-elected-without traverse claims 1-4; (b) replace typo word "drawer" in claim 5 with "controller", (c) update RELATED PATENT APPLICATION information in specification.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)